

1 DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577
2 JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661
3 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463
4 **PERKINS COIE LLP**
5 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
6 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131
7 Telephone: (415) 344-7000
8 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
9 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com
10 Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com
11 Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com

12 Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

13

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION**

16

17 CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a
18 INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD
19 STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others
20 similarly situated,

21 Plaintiffs,

22

23 v.

24 GOOGLE, INC.,

25 Defendant.

26

27

28

CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW

**DECLARATION OF M.
CHRISTOPHER JHANG IN
RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'
OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN
CONNECTION WITH GOOGLE INC.'S
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM
(DOCUMENT NOS. 154-155)**

Date: June 11, 2007

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: Courtroom 8

Judge: Honorable James Ware

1 I, M. Christopher Jhang, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in all of the courts of the State of
3 California and this Court, and am an attorney with the law firm of Perkins Coie LLP, counsel for
4 defendant Google Inc. (“Google”) in this action. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), I submit
5 this declaration in response to the Declaration of Michele F. Raphael In Opposition To
6 Defendant Google Inc.’s Administrative Motion To File Under Seal In Connection With Google
7 Inc.’s Opposition To Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum In Support of Partial Summary
8 Judgment (“Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Seal Documents”), filed with this Court on May 24, 2007
9 (Document Nos. 154-155). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below except as to
10 those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.
11 If called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently as to the matters set forth herein.

12 2. Google’s Opposition To Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum In Support of
13 Partial Summary Judgment (“Google’s Supplemental Opposition”) contains, discusses, or refers
14 to information or documents that Google considers to be confidential, proprietary, or trade secret
15 information. It discusses the operation of Google’s AdWords system and references deposition
16 testimony of Google’s software engineers regarding Google’s internal processes and information
17 not available to the public, including proprietary technology related to the AdWords program and
18 the development of new technology. It also discusses the content of Google’s internal training
19 materials.

20 3. The deposition transcripts, portions of which are attached as Exhibits A, B, and C
21 to the Supplemental Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Support of Google’s Supplemental
22 Opposition (“Supplemental Jhang Declaration”), are confidential, in whole or in part, as set forth
23 in the declaration supporting Google’s motion to seal. *See* Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang
24 In Support of Google Inc.’s Motion For Leave To File Documents Under Seal In Connection
25 With Google Inc.’s Opposition To Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Memorandum In Support of Partial
26 Summary Judgment, ¶¶ 5-7. Notably, these transcripts that are the subject of Plaintiffs’
27 complaints are the result of additional discovery ordered by the Court regarding “how the

1 AdWords system compensates for fluctuations in Internet traffic" – an issue that clearly calls for
2 the discovery of confidential and proprietary information. *See* Court's February 8, 2007 Order.

3 4. Plaintiffs' claim that Google has improperly sealed the deposition transcript of its
4 employee, Heather Wilburn, is unmerited. Exhibit C of the redacted version of the Supplemental
5 Jhang Declaration is redacted in accordance with Google's tailored confidentiality designations.

6 5. Google will continue to confer with Plaintiffs in good faith regarding Google's
7 confidential designations. Once the designations are finalized, Google will amend its redacted
8 documents to conform with the final designations.

9 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United
10 States that each of the above statements is true and correct.

11 Executed May 31, 2007, in San Francisco, California.

12 **PERKINS COIE LLP**

13
14 By: _____ /S/
15 M. Christopher Jhang
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28